

# Does the tonicity or rate of intravenous fluid infusion affect neurologic outcomes in children with diabetic ketoacidosis?

Nicholas Packer, MD, MSc\*; Miles Hunter, MD\*; Shawn Dowling, MD\*

**Abstract link:** <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29897851>

**Full citation:** Kuppermann N, Ghetti S, Schunk JE, Stoner MJ, Rewers A, McManemy JK, et al. Clinical trial of fluid infusion rates for pediatric diabetic ketoacidosis. *N Engl J Med* 2018;378(24):2275–87.

**Article type:** Therapy

**Ratings:** Methods – 4/5 Usefulness – 4/5

## INTRODUCTION

### Background

Prior guidelines for fluid management in pediatric diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA) were based on limited observational data. Rapid fluid administration was thought to cause cerebral injury due to sudden changes in serum osmolality.<sup>1</sup>

### Objective

To study the effect of different fluid regimens on neurologic outcomes in pediatric DKA.

## METHODS

### Design

Multicentre randomized, controlled trial (RCT) (2 x 2 factorial design).

### Subjects

Children (0–18 years of age) with DKA.

Subjects were excluded if:

- Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS)  $\leq$  11
- Pregnancy
- Treated DKA
- Condition impairing mental status
- Specific intravenous therapy required

### Intervention

Fast rehydration with 0.45% or 0.9% NaCl (20 mL/kg bolus, replace half of 10% fluid deficit over 12 hours, remaining deficit over following 24 hours).

### Comparison

Slow rehydration with 0.45% or 0.9% NaCl (10 mL/kg bolus, replace half of 5% fluid deficit over 48 hours).

### Primary outcome

Neurologic decline (GCS < 14 twice consecutively within an hour of first 24 hours of treatment).

### Secondary outcomes

Short-term memory loss in first 24 hours; clinically apparent brain injury; follow-up neurologic outcomes.

## RESULTS

In total, 1,389 cases from 1,255 patients were included in the study. Ultimately, 1,361 cases were analysed for the primary outcome. No statistically significant differences were observed between groups for either the primary or secondary outcomes. Results are shown in [Tables 1](#) and [2](#).

From the \*Department of Emergency Medicine, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB.

**Correspondence to:** Dr. Nicholas Packer, Department of Emergency Medicine, Foothills Medical Centre (Room C231), 1403-29 St NW, Calgary, AB T2N 2T9; Email: [nicholas.packer@ahs.ca](mailto:nicholas.packer@ahs.ca)

**Table 1. Mental status change by treatment group**

Outcome	Fast administration groups		Slow administration groups	
	0.45% NaCl	0.9% NaCl	0.45% NaCl	0.9% NaCl
No. DKA episodes	337	345	338	341
Decline in GCS < 14*	10 (3.0)	11 (3.2)	11 (3.3)	16 (4.7)
No. DKA episodes	344	351	345	349
Clinical brain injury*	2 (0.6)	2 (0.6)	5 (1.4)	3 (0.9)

\*Reported as number of events and as a percentage; no statistically significant differences observed.

**Table 2. Relative risk of mental status change by treatment group**

Outcome	Fast v. Slow	0.45% v. 0.9% NaCl	Significance
Decline in GCS < 14	RR = 0.76 (95% CI: 0.44–1.33)	RR = 0.80 (95% CI: 0.46–1.40)	NSS ( $p > 0.05$ )
Clinical brain injury	RR = 0.49 (95% CI: 0.15–1.64)	RR = 1.43 (95% CI: 0.46–4.40)	NSS ( $p > 0.05$ )

CI = confidence interval; NSS = not statistically significant; RR = relative risk.

## APPRAISAL

### Strengths

- Consecutive patient recruitment
- Adequate participant randomization
- Baseline demographic and prognostic factors similar between study groups
- Analysis followed the intention-to-treat principle
- Between group therapy only varied based on treatment group assigned
- Successful follow-up for secondary outcome measures

### Limitations

- Low primary outcome event rate predisposing the study to type II error
- Underpowered study due to an over-estimate of the incidence of the primary outcome
- Less than 50% of eligible patients included in the RCT
- 10% of patients were withdrawn by the treating physician
- The study design excluded the sickest DKA patients (GCS  $\leq$  11)
- Physicians were not blinded to treatment group
- Total of 134 patients enrolled in the study more than once

## CONTEXT

Increased rates of cerebral edema were not seen with rapid infusion of hypotonic fluids.<sup>2</sup> This should challenge the commonly cited “osmotic shift” theory which has long dictated DKA resuscitation guidelines. The fact that some trial results suggest better neurologic outcomes in the rapid infusion group [specifically, the highest rate of GCS decline [4.7%] was seen in the slow 0.9% NaCl arm] warrants re-evaluation of existing hospital treatment protocols, exploration of alternative hypotheses behind DKA-related cerebral edema, and replication of this study design in a sicker cohort of DKA patients.<sup>3</sup>

## BOTTOM LINE

This was the first well-designed RCT to study the commonly held belief that rapid intravenous infusion can cause cerebral edema in DKA. Although both the lack of physician blinding and the inadequate power to detect between group interaction effects represent significant study limitations, the results of this study have already led to updated clinical practice guidelines.<sup>4</sup> For pediatric rehydration, it is reasonable to use either 0.45% or 0.9% NaCl and to use a rapid or slow rate of intravenous rehydration (replacing 5%–10% of body weight over 24–48 hours) as detailed in the study protocol.

**Keywords:** Pediatrics, DKA, IV Fluid

**Competing interests:** None declared.

## **REFERENCES**

1. Hom J, Sinert R. Evidence-based emergency medicine/critically appraised topic. Is fluid therapy associated with cerebral edema in children with diabetic ketoacidosis? *Ann Emerg Med* 2008;52(1):69–75.
2. Kuppermann N, Ghetti S, Schunk JE, Stoner MJ, Rewers A, McManemy JK, et al. Clinical trial of fluid infusion rates for pediatric diabetic ketoacidosis. *N Engl J Med* 2018;378(24):2275–87.
3. Sperling MA. Fluid composition, infusion rate, and brain injury in diabetic ketoacidosis. *N Engl J Med* 2018;378(24):2336–8.
4. Wolfsdorf JJ, Glaser N, Agus M, et al. ISPAD clinical practice consensus guidelines 2018: diabetic ketoacidosis and the hyperglycemic hyperosmolar state. *Pediatr Diabetes* 2018;19(Suppl 27):155–77.