

patients in both groups were discharged appropriately and there were no delayed or missed diagnoses of appendicitis at 1-month follow-up.

### Study conclusions

Opioid analgesia did not improve the diagnostic performance of U/S and had no apparent effect on the surgeons' ability to identify surgical pathology.

### Commentary

This study is interesting from 2 perspectives. First, it suggests that opioid analgesia does not increase the risk of delayed or missed diagnosis of appendicitis and does not influence the rate of unnecessary laparotomy. Second, it suggests that U/S is less sensitive, less specific, and less accurate than clinical judgement. In this study, the positive and negative likelihood ratios for U/S were, respectively, 1.87 and 0.45. A test with these parameters is both a weak negative and a weak positive predictor. For example, given a hypothetical "grey-zone" patient with pre-test probability of 50%, a negative U/S would decrease (post-test) probability to approximately 30%, while a positive U/S would increase (post-test) probability to only 65%. In both cases, this offers little help to the clinician. These data suggest that greater dependence on U/S in this setting is likely to increase, rather than decrease diagnostic error.

These results are consistent with 3 other studies,<sup>1-3</sup> which found no change in diagnostic accuracy or morbidity in

patients receiving opioids; however, they contrast slightly with a fourth study, which also reported no missed diagnoses among opioid recipients, but found an increased rate of unnecessary laparotomy in the placebo group. The authors of this study<sup>4</sup> calculated a number-needed-to-treat (with opioids) of 8.33 to prevent a negative laparotomy.

While all 5 studies combined include just over 600 patients, none have shown a trend toward missed diagnoses or significant diagnostic delays in patients receiving opioid analgesics. Therefore, the weight of the evidence supports judicious analgesic use in ED patients being evaluated for suspected surgical abdominal pain.

### References

1. Zoltie N, Cust MP. Analgesia in the acute abdomen. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl* 1986;68:209-10.
2. Pace S, Burke TF. Intravenous morphine for early pain relief in patients with acute abdominal pain. *Acad Emerg Med* 1996; 3:1086-92.
3. LoVecchio F, Oster N, Sturmman K, Nelson L, Flashner S, Finger R. The use of analgesics in patients with acute abdominal pain. *J Emerg Med* 1997;15:775-9.
4. Attard AR, Corlett MJ, Kidner NJ, Leslie AP, Fraser IA. Safety of early pain relief for acute abdominal pain. *BMJ* 1992;305:554-6.

**Correspondence to:** Dr. Michael Bullard, Division of Emergency Medicine, University of Alberta Hospital, WMC 1G1.50, 8440 112 St., Edmonton AB T6G 2B7

## PEER REVIEWERS • RÉVISEURS

*CJEM* would like to recognize and thank the following peer reviewers who have volunteered their time, knowledge and effort to *CJEM*. Peer review is essential to a medical journal's credibility and success.

This list includes the peer reviewers who helped us from November 1998 to August 1999. We apologize in advance if we have overlooked a reviewer, but please let us know so we can acknowledge you in a future issue.

Abu-Laban, Riyad  
Allen, Tim  
Berringer, Ross  
Beveridge, Robert  
Brubacher, Jeffrey  
Cass, Dan E.  
Chopra, Anil  
Christenson, James  
Cummings, Garnet  
Cummings, Greta  
Deady, Brian  
Dickinson, Garth

Dubinsky, Isser  
Fernandes, Chris  
Frank, Jason R.  
Gareau, Annie  
Howlett, Michael  
Huber, Alan  
Innes, Grant  
Ip, Urbain  
Joubert, Gary  
Lee, Jacques  
Letovsky, Eric  
Lexchin, Joel

Lucky, Cindy-Ann  
MacPhail, Iain  
Mann, David  
McGuire, Peter  
McKnight, Doug  
Minnes, Bruce  
Morrison, Laurie J.  
Mosdossy, Gregory  
Nijjissen-Jordon, Cheri  
O'Connor, Michael  
Petrie, David  
Ross, John

Schull, Michael J.  
Shuster, Michael  
Sinclair, Doug  
Spence, Julie  
Tallon, John M.  
Taylor, Anthony S.  
Thompson, James  
Verbeek, Richard  
Vertesi, Les  
Ward, John